SCIENCE HUB REVIEW
2026
Volume 1
Issue 5
www.sciencehubreview.uz
ILMIY ELEKTRON JURNAL

BUSINESS COMMUNICATION AS A SPECIAL TYPE OF INTERACTION

Sodiqova Mubina Po'latjon qizi

Andijon davlat chet tillari instituti talabasi

sodiqovanubina1128@gmail.com

Xolisova Gavharoy Mannopjon qizi

f.f.f.d., dotsent

Abstract

This article examines business communication as a special type of social interaction organized around professional and commercial goals. It explains how participants' roles, institutional context, communicative purpose, language choices, and feedback shape business encounters. The discussion covers interactional structure, verbal and nonverbal resources, digital communication, intercultural considerations, and practical methods for analyzing business discourse. The article argues that effective business interaction depends on both the accurate transmission of information and the participants' joint work in establishing meaning, trust, and coordinated action. Ethical communication requires clarity, respect for the recipient's autonomy, and responsible handling of information.

Keywords

business communication, interaction, business discourse, communicative purpose, professional roles, feedback, pragmatics, digital communication.

Introduction

Business communication is often described as the exchange of information within and between organizations. This description is useful, but incomplete: commercial communication is also a form of interaction in which participants negotiate meaning, establish relationships, coordinate tasks, and influence decisions. A contract discussion, a customer service exchange, a team meeting, and a sales presentation all involve

information transfer, yet each also depends on expectations about roles, authority, politeness, relevance, and appropriate conduct.

Treating business communication as a special type of interaction makes it possible to connect linguistic analysis with organizational, pragmatic, and social perspectives. Interaction is not simply a sequence of isolated messages. Participants interpret one another's contributions in context, respond to them, and adjust what they say as the encounter unfolds. Meaning is therefore shaped by both the words used and the circumstances in which they are used [1; 2].

This article outlines the defining features of business interaction, explains the role of communicative purposes and participant relations, and considers verbal, nonverbal, and digital dimensions. It also discusses analytical approaches and ethical requirements relevant to research and professional practice.

Business communication as goal-oriented interaction

Business interaction is typically organized around an identifiable practical outcome: reaching an agreement, exchanging a service, allocating responsibilities, solving a problem, informing a stakeholder, or supporting a decision. This goal orientation distinguishes many business encounters from informal conversation. Participants usually have limited time, defined responsibilities, and expectations about what the exchange should accomplish. However, goals do not eliminate relational work. Even a short transactional exchange can communicate respect, reliability, competence, or indifference.

A useful account of interaction must therefore consider at least three connected dimensions. The first is the task dimension: what information or action is required? The second is the relational dimension: what relationship is being enacted or maintained? The third is the interpretive dimension: how do participants understand the situation and each other's contributions? A message may succeed on one dimension and fail on another. A technically complete answer, for example, may still damage a customer relationship if it dismisses the customer's concern.

Business communication also operates within institutional routines. Organizations use recurring genres such as reports, proposals, invoices, meeting agendas, and customer responses. These genres provide shared expectations about content and structure, helping

participants process information efficiently. At the same time, a genre is not a rigid script: communicators must adapt it to the particular audience, purpose, and circumstances [3; 4].

Participants, roles, and relationships

Participants enter business encounters with social and professional roles. A manager, employee, supplier, client, regulator, and prospective customer may have different rights, responsibilities, knowledge, and decision-making authority. These role relations influence who initiates a topic, who requests or provides information, how disagreement is expressed, and which outcomes can be agreed upon. Organizational communication research emphasizes that communication both reflects organizational structures and helps reproduce or change them [5; 6].

Roles should not be treated as fixed descriptions of individuals. In actual interaction, participants display and negotiate their roles through language and conduct. A service representative may speak on behalf of an organization while also responding as an individual listener. A project lead may exercise authority while inviting contributions from colleagues. The interactional meaning of a role becomes visible in turn-taking, forms of address, requests, explanations, and responses to disagreement.

Relationship management is consequently part of the business task. Trust is built through consistency, responsiveness, and accountable communication over time. Politeness strategies can reduce unnecessary friction, but politeness does not mean avoiding important issues. In negotiations, for instance, clear disagreement may be more constructive than vague agreement if it identifies the point of concern and offers a path toward resolution. The aim is to protect the working relationship while accurately representing one’s position.

Communicative purpose and pragmatic meaning

The literal meaning of a sentence does not always capture what a participant is doing with it. A question may request information, signal doubt, or invite a proposal. A statement such as “We can deliver the revised version by Friday” may function as a commitment, depending on the speaker’s authority and the conversational context.

Speech act theory provides tools for describing how utterances perform actions such as requesting, promising, offering, and agreeing [7; 8].

Pragmatic interpretation depends on context and shared assumptions. Participants infer what is relevant, what has been left unsaid, and how a contribution relates to the preceding exchange. Grice's account of conversational cooperation offers a framework for understanding expectations of sufficient information, truthfulness, relevance, and clarity [9]. These expectations are especially consequential in business settings, where ambiguity about deadlines, prices, responsibilities, or conditions can create costly disputes.

Communicators should distinguish between informing, proposing, requesting, and committing. Phrases that sound definite may be interpreted as promises even when the speaker intended only to describe a possibility. Conversely, excessive qualification may make a genuine commitment seem uncertain. Carefully chosen wording, explicit conditions, and confirmation of shared understanding help align intended meaning with likely interpretation.

Verbal and nonverbal resources

Word choice, sentence structure, tone, pace, and turn organization all contribute to the meaning of a business exchange. Plain language can make complex information accessible without reducing its accuracy. Technical terminology is appropriate when participants share the relevant expertise; when they do not, terms should be explained or replaced with familiar wording. The appropriate level of detail depends on the recipient's knowledge and the decision they need to make.

In face-to-face and video interactions, nonverbal behavior also shapes interpretation. Eye contact, posture, facial expression, pauses, vocal emphasis, and responsiveness can reinforce or complicate spoken words. Nonverbal signals should be interpreted cautiously because their meanings vary across individuals and cultural settings. They are best understood as part of the interactional context rather than as universal indicators of a person's intention.

Listening is an active component of business interaction. Participants show that they have understood by asking relevant questions, paraphrasing key points, acknowledging concerns, and confirming next steps. These actions can expose mismatches before they

become operational problems. In this sense, effective communication is not measured only by the quality of a speaker's message but also by how well the exchange supports mutual understanding and coordinated action [10].

Digital and mediated business interaction

Email, messaging platforms, video meetings, customer portals, and automated systems have expanded the settings in which business interaction occurs. These channels differ in speed, permanence, audience size, and availability of contextual cues. A brief message may be efficient in an ongoing team conversation but appear abrupt when sent to a new client without a greeting or explanation. A longer email can preserve a decision trail, while a live conversation may be better for exploring uncertainty or resolving a sensitive disagreement.

Digital communication changes turn-taking and response expectations. Delays can be interpreted as workload, lack of access, or lack of interest, depending on the relationship and the urgency of the matter. Written messages can be forwarded, searched, and read outside their original context, so communicators should make purpose, decisions, owners, and deadlines explicit. In meetings, agendas and summaries help participants coordinate contributions and preserve outcomes.

Organizations increasingly use automated tools to draft, classify, and respond to messages. These tools can improve speed and consistency, but they can also generate inaccurate, generic, or poorly contextualized responses. Human review remains important where messages involve commitments, complaints, sensitive information, or significant decisions. Digital efficiency should support interaction rather than obscure responsibility for what an organization communicates.

Intercultural and ethical dimensions

Commercial interaction often brings together participants with different linguistic repertoires, professional conventions, and cultural expectations. Differences may concern directness, silence, titles, negotiation pace, disagreement, or the preferred amount of background information. Such differences should not be reduced to stereotypes. Individuals vary, and organizational culture, industry practice, language proficiency, and the immediate situation all shape behavior.

Intercultural competence involves checking assumptions, explaining context where needed, and allowing space for clarification. When a point is important, communicators can summarize the agreed terms and invite the other party to confirm or correct them. This practice is useful across cultures because it makes the interaction's outcome explicit without presuming that all participants interpret conventions identically.

Ethical business communication respects the recipient's ability to make an informed choice. It avoids deceptive framing, concealed conditions, fabricated urgency, and misuse of personal information. Persuasion is a normal part of commercial activity, but it should be grounded in accurate claims and transparent terms. Organizations also have a responsibility to make complaint and correction processes understandable and accessible.

Approaches to analyzing business interaction

Researchers and practitioners can analyze business interaction at several levels. Discourse analysis examines how topics, turns, and genres are organized. Conversation analysis studies the sequential structure of talk, including how requests, responses, repairs, and disagreements unfold. Pragmatic analysis considers speaker intentions, implied meanings, and the role of context. Organizational analysis situates communication within authority, workflow, culture, and institutional practices [2; 5; 11].

A practical analysis can begin by defining the interaction and its purpose, identifying participants and their roles, and collecting relevant texts or recordings with appropriate consent. The analyst can then examine how the exchange opens, develops, and closes; how participants introduce or resolve problems; what information remains implicit; and whether stated decisions are linked to responsible people and deadlines. Comparing intended meaning with recipient interpretation can reveal where communication breaks down.

No single method captures every aspect of an interaction. Interviews can provide participants' explanations but may not correspond exactly to what happens in recorded exchanges. Text analysis can identify recurring patterns but may overlook tone and situational factors. Combining methods can produce a fuller account, provided the analyst documents the scope and limitations of the evidence.

Conclusion

Business communication is a special type of interaction because it combines practical objectives with relationship management, institutional expectations, and the joint interpretation of meaning. Its effectiveness depends not only on accurate information but also on how participants establish roles, respond to one another, negotiate commitments, and coordinate action across spoken, written, and digital settings.

Viewing business communication as interaction helps organizations diagnose misunderstandings and improve professional practice. Clear purpose, audience-aware language, active listening, explicit confirmation of decisions, and responsible use of digital tools support more reliable exchanges. These practices are most effective when adapted to the participants and context rather than applied as mechanical formulas. Further study can examine how changing technologies and multilingual workplaces reshape business interaction and the expectations attached to it.

References

1. Austin, J. L. How to Do Things with Words. 2nd ed. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1975. 169 p.

2. Bovee, C. L., Thill, J. V. Business Communication Today. 15th ed. Harlow: Pearson, 2021. 704 p.

3. Brown, P., Levinson, S. C. Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987. 345 p.

4. Cheney, G., Christensen, L. T., Zorn, T. E., Ganesh, S. Organizational Communication in an Age of Globalization: Issues, Reflections, Practices. 2nd ed. Long Grove, IL: Waveland Press, 2011. 480 p.

5. Clark, H. H. Using Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996. 432 p.

6. Goffman, E. Interaction Ritual: Essays on Face-to-Face Behavior. New York: Pantheon Books, 1967. 270 p.

7. Grice, H. P. Logic and Conversation // Syntax and Semantics. Vol. 3: Speech Acts / ed. by P. Cole, J. L. Morgan. New York: Academic Press, 1975. P. 41–58.

8. Leontiev, A. A. Fundamentals of Psycholinguistics [Osnovy psikholingvistiki]. Moscow: Smysl; Akademiya, 2003. 287 p.

9. Miller, K. Organizational Communication: Approaches and Processes. 7th ed. Stamford, CT: Cengage Learning, 2015. 368 p.

10. Searle, J. R. Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1969. 203 p.

11. Sperber, D., Wilson, D. Relevance: Communication and Cognition. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 1995. 326 p.

12. ГОСТ Р 7.0.100–2018. System of Standards on Information, Librarianship and Publishing. Bibliographic Record. Bibliographic Description. General Requirements and Rules. Moscow: Standartinform, 2018.